Working Group on Appraisal of Guidelines

Dror Dicker
President Elect EFIM
Agenda

• Approve the "FRAMING PROCESS PAPER”
• Approve the "CHECK LIST “
• Appoint the panel:
  • For the Atrial Fibrillation CPG
  • For the Community Acquired Pneumonia CPG
• Set The Timeline for the PICO suggestions and decision.
• Set The Timeline for finalize the Guidelines
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Background

• Most of our medical practice relies upon Guidelines.
• However Guidelines are far from being “exact and flexible” tools while instead suffers from major limitations.
• These limitations are even more accentuated when we try to use them within our Internal medicine setting.
Management of hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes, 2018. A consensus report by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD)

M. Daneman, 1, 2, a, D. Rubenstein, 1, a, J. F. Harris, 3, a, K. M. Altman, 3, a, N. M. Bolef, 4, a, J. M. Buse, 1, a

Aim

To adapt the existing European Guidelines that relate to our work in the Internal Medicine departments and outpatient services to our daily clinical practice.
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Proposed WG work flow

• WG decision on the 2 Guideline Appraisal Issues yearly
• WG nominate a Steering Committee for the GA.
  • 2-3 Members from WG
  • 2-3 European expert on the GA issue.
• The proposed GA will discussed and approved in the WG meeting.
• The proposed GA will discussed and approved in the EC meeting.
• The approved GA will be present YEARLY at ECIM & ESIM in a special session of Guideline Appraisal
• Publication in EJIM
Proposed WG work flow

- Working Group
  - Steering Committee Guideline 1
  - Steering Committee Guideline 2
  - Working Group Approval
  - Executive Committee Approval
  - Publication in EJIM
Method of Appraisal the Guideline

• Developing a methodological tool:
  • Sub Committee:
    • Prof. Wiktoria Lesniak.
    • Prof. Laura Morbidoni
    • Prof. Ignacio Marin
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### Method of Appraisal the Guideline

**CHECK LIST FOR THE SUMMARIZING PANEL AND REVIEWERS OF EFIM**

Please verify following 19 items:

Version 1.0 Before WG approval

1. **Item 1**: Internal medicine clinical practice is related to the topic of the document
   - [ ] YES
   - [ ] NO

2. **Item 2**: Recommendations are based on at least one clinical practice guideline (CPG), if available
   - [ ] YES
   - [ ] NO

3. **Item 3**: CPGs recommended by experts are available AND at least two of them are experts in the field of national CPG
   - [ ] YES
   - [ ] NO

4. **Item 4**: Panel members' potential conflict of interest are clearly disclosed
   - [ ] YES
   - [ ] NO

5. **Item 5**: Methods used to "manage" any potential conflict of interest have been explained
   - [ ] YES
   - [ ] NO

6. **Item 6**: The structured PICO clinical questions are listed
   - [ ] YES
   - [ ] NO

7. **Item 7**: Evidence levels for PICO questions are clearly reported
   - [ ] YES
   - [ ] NO

8. **Item 8**: A guideline search strategy is fully reported and is complete
   - [ ] YES (n.e. The search has been performed in HWE, SAGE, PubMed)
   - [ ] NO
Method of Appraisal the Guideline

• Developing a methodological tool
• Nominate the steering committee for 2 Topics:
  • **Community Acquired Pneumonia** - Chair: Prof Serat Unel
  • **Atrial Fibrillation** - Chair: Dr. Alberto Mara
• Develop the PICO – January 2020
• Decide on the 5 PICO – May 2020
  • Present the Appraisal of the Guidelines in ECIM 2020